





GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS





### IMPACT OF TRANSPORT TECHNOLOGIES IN SHAPING FUTURE CITIES TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY

Soumyajit Dutta Prof. Dr. Sanjay Gupta School of Planning and Architecture, Delhi Transport planning Department







### Contents

- Aims and Objectives
- Methodology
- Sustainable Cities: Definition and Concept
- Mass Transit Technologies: Selection Procedure
- Transit technologies and Sustainable Cities
- Global Cities: Transit and Economy
- Systems in Indian Cities
- Sustainable Urban Mobility Index
- Case Study: Delhi
- Conclusion and Recommendation



# **Aim and Objectives**

### Aim

• Finding the impact of transit technologies in shaping future cities towards sustainability

### **Objectives:**

- To review through literature interdependence of Transit and urban growth in sustainable cities.
- To develop a relationship between transit supply and ridership, per Km ridership, Economic, Social and Environmental development
- To develop a Sustainable Urban Mobility Index for evaluating the Impact of Transit Systems in City
- To assess the impact of metro in Delhi on physical, economical, social and environmental Development.
- To evaluate the alternate transit development strategies on case study area of Delhi for growing towards sustainable mobility



# Methodology



# Sustainable Cities: Definition and Concept



C	om	pari	ison	with	World	Cities
---	----	------	------	------	-------	--------

	Presense of Modes										
	Bus	Tram	LRT	BRT	Metro	Maglev					
India	100%	14%	0%	29%	100%	0%					
Rest of the World	100%	38%	38%	22%	97%	6%					

•

Urban Mobility India

nference & Expo 2019

oth

Indian cities are predominantly moving on Bus and Metro in available for cities with more than **5 million population.** 



### Mass Transit Technologies: Selection Procedure

	Factors In Choosing a Type of	of Public Transport Technology	
	<b>COST</b> 1) CAPITAL COSTS (Infrastructure and Property	costs) 2) OPERATING COSTS	3) PLANNING COSTS
	PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT 1) PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION TIME	2) MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	
- A second secon	<b>DESIGN</b> 1) SCALABILITY HOMOGENEITY	2) FLEXIBILITY	3) DIVERSITY VERSUS
	PERFORMANCE 1) CAPACITY 4) RELIABILITY 7) IMAGE AND PERCEPTION	2) TRAVEL TIME/SPEED 5) COMFORT AND SAFETY	3) SERVICE FREQUENCY 6) CUSTOMER SERVICE
	IMPACTS 1) ECONOMIC IMPACTS 3) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	2) SOCIAL IMPACTS 4) URBAN IMPACTS	
12 <sup>th</sup> Urban Mobility India			

# Transit technologies and Sustainable Cities

#### Average City Speed and Metro Network Length



# **Global Cities: Transit and Economy**



American Cities Average City Speed and Urban Population Density



OUrban Mobility India Inference & Expo 2019

### Average Speed (KMPH) with Urban Population in Asian and Latin American Cities



#### Average Speed (KMPH) with Population Density



# **Systems in Indian Cities**

	Metro System Efficiency Across India											
SI. No.	City	Population in Million	Opening	Network length	Stations	Lines	Avg. station distance (m)	Avg. line length (KM)	Stations per line	Daily ridership	Ridership per km	Length per resident in mm
1	L Bangalore	10.60	15-Sep-11	42.3	40	2	1085	21.1	20.5	400000	9457	6.2
2	2 Bombay	21.00		11.4	73	6	2253	31.9	15.2	405107	35536	1
3	<b>B</b> Calcutta	15.00	24-Oct-84	29.7	24	1	1291	29.7	24	700000	23570	2
4	I Delhi	18.70	24-Dec-02	239	172	8	1366	29.9	22.9	2761000	11553	15
5	5 Hyderabad	6.80	28-Nov-17	29.8	24	2	1296	14.9	12.5	220000	7383	4.7
(	5 Jaipur	3.06	03-Jun-15	9.6	9	1	1200	9.6	9	17649	1839	3.3
7	7 Kochi	0.90	17-Jun-17	18	16	1	1200	18	16	45000	2500	
8	<b>B</b> Lucknow	3.30	05-Sep-17	8.4	8	1	1200	8.4	8	67000	7977	
9	9 Madras	7.00	29-Jun-15	47.4	38	3	1317	15.8	13	90000	1899	6.6

#### **Relationships in Indian Cities**



nference & Expo 2019

### Sustainable Urban Mobility Index

- Sustainable Urban Mobility Index (SUMI) is a is a framework of indicators for the assessment of urban transport systems and mobility and services in a city. The indicators and SUMI can help summarize, track and compare state of urban transport performance in a city. SUMI can serve as a useful tool for cities to assess the ability to move a city on a mass transit mode. It consists of Population, Area, Population Density, GDP PPP, Per Capita GDP, Average Speed (Kmph), Network length, Stations, Lines, Avg. station distance, Avg. line length, Stations per line, Daily ridership, Ridership per km, Length per resident in mm, Usage, Stations per Lakh Population, Network Density.
- It is derived from Sustainable Urban Transport Index (SUTI)
- \* Methodology: For SUMI both methods were tested using partly hypothetic yet realistic data. The test showed that the SUMI results (ranking of cities) in some cases could be affected by the choice of aggregation method. Based on the similar argument as for the HDI it was decided to apply the geometric mean for aggregation.

• Index, 
$$I_i = \frac{(i_i - i_{min})}{(i_{max} - i_{min})}$$
, SUMI Base, General Index,  $J_i = \sqrt[n]{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \times \ldots \times I_n}$ , SUMI Base,  $K_i = \sqrt[8]{J_1^1 \times J_2^1 \times J_3^2 \times J_4^2 \times J_5^2}$ 

• 
$$SUMI_i = \frac{K_i \times 100}{K_{max}}$$

$$I. I_i = \frac{(i_i - i_{min})}{(i_{max} - i_{min})}$$

2. 
$$I_{area} = \frac{(I_{area, London} - I_{area, min})}{(I_{area, max} - I_{area, min})}$$
 or  $= \frac{(571 - 90)}{(8000 - 90)}$  or  $= \frac{(581)}{(7910)}$  or  $= 7.3$   
3.  $J_i = \sqrt[n]{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \times ... \times I_n}$ , or  $J_{City} = \sqrt[3]{I_{area} \times I_{population} \times I_{population} density}$   
or  $J_{City} = \sqrt[3]{7.3 \times 18.6 \times 40.4}$   
or  $J_{City} = 17.7$   
4. SUMI Base,  $K_i = \sqrt[8]{J_1^1 \times J_2^1 \times J_3^2 \times J_4^2 \times J_5^2}$   
5.  $SUMI_i = \frac{K_i \times 100}{K_{max}}$   
Character of the set of the

### Case Study: Delhi



	Physical										
Year	Delhi Metro in KM	Total Built- up Area of Delhi (Sg.KM)	Built-up area Percentage	Total Built-up within Present network coverage area	Built-up within 1km	coverage of NCT Area by Metro	Coverage of NCT Built- up Area	= 8 30% LO 25% Jo 20% at 15%			
2000	0	456	30.87	220		, 0	0	ຍື້ 10%			
2003	22	518	35.13	245	35	3.5	6.8	0 5%			
2008	74	617	41.79	268	139	15.7	22.6	0%	4		
2011	190	692	46.88	308	230	23.4	33.3	- 0%	n		
2014	193	757	51.26	330	247	23.4	32.7		•		
2015	212	807	54.65	336	252	25.7	31.3	<b>Energy</b> 2017	1 12 +-		
2016	212	830	56.26	339	254	25.7	30.6	Average g	rowth		
2019	343	867	58.71	363	363	30.9	41.9	12.5 incre	ase pe		



From 2011-12 to 2014-15 the extension of metro was stalled. Average growth of Delhi Metro Ridership was adjusted as 12.5 increase per year.

12<sup>th</sup>OUrban Mobility India

### Case Study: Delhi



						Ec	onomic	DMRC Sustainability Report, 2013-14								
		Total	Emplo	oyment	Inco	ome			Metro			400000				
Adding up KMs of Network	Direct NSDP Rupee In Crores	Economic Benefit (Rupees in Crore)	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Total Employment	Total Income Rupee In Crores	Network Building Cost Rupee In Crores	Cost Benefit Ratio (economic)	Multiplier Effect	s 350000 2 300000 2 250000 3 200000 3 150000	y = 985 R	52ln(x) - <sup>2</sup> = 0.894	252673 18	
10	2827	7568	425	3910	22	70	4335	93.33	3750	1.25						
20	5575	17429	850	7820	45	140	8670	186.66	7500	1.23	10.00	SU 50000	«/			
50	13388	44206	2125	19550	114	351	21675	466.65	18750	1.18	0.25	0				
100	25173	86810	4250	39100	229	703	43350	933.3	37500	1.11	0.11	(	) 100	200	300	400
200	45206	154257	8501	78209	459	1407	86710	1867	75000	10	0.10		Network Length		ngth	

12<sup>th</sup> Urban Mobility India C nference & Expo 2019

### **Case Study: Delhi**



nference & Expo 2019

# **Conclusion and Recommendation**

### Recommendation

Urban Rail System needs to given the top priority in Large Cities like Delhi

Rail based systems are essential to achieve sustainability in large cities as seen in the global sustainable cities as well as in India

Rail based transport impacts the city in terms of physical extent, economic extent as well as social enhancement

There is a straight relationship between per capita network length and usage of Systems

As the Network Length Increases, the Ridership per KM increases

The average speed of a city is directly impacting the Per Capita GDP of a City

To increase ridership, a whole network has to be created, a single corridor

Multimodality increases efficiency of each system

Urban Mobility India 🔸

inference & Expo 2019

Alternate scenarios to be developed and right system and network has to be implemented



45%

Bus

Auto

### 460 KM Metro Network

was as considered upto Phase IV, plus **223 Kms LRT Network** according to BAU Traffic Assignments, PPHPDT over 20 thousands for New LRT

Target PT Modal Split 75%

	Ne 460	twork Mix		
 			223	
	Metro		LRT	

200

100

#### Way Forward

- SUMI can be further developed
  - Weightage (Power) of different criteria may be considered statistically
  - Proper weightage of Metro, BUS, BRT, LRT and Trams has to be calculated. In this study capacity of the systems are considered as their weightage

3%

30%