



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS



# Urban Mobility & Climate Change Global & India Perspective

# Nupur Gupta, Sr. Transport Specialist The World Bank





# **Presentation Structure**

- Global Green Transport Scenario
- Urban Agenda
- India Urban Green Transport Scenario





# Transport Emissions

World energy related CO2 emissions by sector in 2013, IEA







# Most of the growth in recent years stems from outside high income OECD







# At a global level transport emissions are produced by a handful of countries







# At a global level transport emissions are produced by a handful of countries







# Urban Agenda





# And incomes and motorization rates are growing



Total LDV ownership is expected to double in the next few decades (IEA, 2009) from the current level of around 1 billion vehicles. Two-thirds of this growth is expected in non-OECD countries.





# Cars carry the lowest number of trips but cause the largest portion of emissions



Source: ICCT













# **India Urban Mobility Model**





#### **D** Population will double by 2050

#### □ Tier I and Tier III constitute 70%



Number of cities in each Tier

	City class in 2050			
City class in 2010	I	II	III	IV
l.	5	0	0	0
II	4	0	0	0
Ш	0	14	30	0
IV	0	0	53	0





#### □ Passenger demand will quadruple by 2050

#### □ Highest increase occurs in Tier I and Tier III



• Share of PKM for each share

	City Tier	2010	2030	2050
/	I.	60%	62%	58%
I	Ш	10%	10%	11%
	ш	22%	21%	24%
	IV	8%	7%	8%



12



- □ Car ownership will grow from 52 to 231 per 1000 inhabitants
- **2W** ownership will grow from 183 to 352 per 1000 inhabitants







- □ Formal buses per lakh decrease from 18 to 12 per lakh
- □ Share of private bus increases from 50% to 60%







#### □ Metro network length grows from 217km to 2813km

As planned, 250 000 million rupees per year are 100% spent on metro network construction and expansion, according to the existing and future plans.







- □ Private mode share will increase from 30% to 48%
- □ NMT mode share will decrease from 38% to 21%







- □ CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2050 is nearly <u>EIGHT</u> times the 2010 level.
- □ Larger cities emit much more due to the prevalence of cars
- □ 80% of the emissions comes from Tier I and Tier III



 $CO_2$  emissions by tier (WTT + TTW)



17



- **Private car is the main contributor to the increase in TTW CO2 emissions.**
- Metro and rail are the main contributor to the WTT emissions, representing more than 60% in 2010 and decreases to 45% in 2050.



- Without clean electricity, mode shift to metro will not transform into CO<sub>2</sub> savings
- Share of WTT in the total emissions goes down from 35% in 2010 to 29% in 2050





18

## **ALTERNATIVE POLICY SCENARIOS**

**Investment policies** 

**Shared mobility** 

**Vehicle technology** 





#### Indicative strategies of allocating available funding

Available money per year (million rupees) 250 000

Scenarios	Pop > 4M	Pop 1M - 4M	Pop < 1M	% of funding allocated	% of funding utilised
Bus only scenario	37%	40%	21%	98%	87%
BRT only scenario	10%	22%	13%	45%	45%
NMT only scenario	15%	9%	4%	28%	27%
Bus + MT + NMT scenario	10% MT, 20% Bus, 6% NMT	12% MT, 25% Bus, 5% NMT	0% MT, 20% Bus, 2% NMT	100%	91%





#### Mixed investment strategy has the highest CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation potential in cities







21

#### Bus and mixed investment strategy have the highest efficiency (CO<sub>2</sub> per PKM)



#### CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per passenger-kilometre in 2050





#### Mixed and bus only investment strategy have the highest impacts on containing the growth of private vehicle ownership



Vehicle ownerships in 2050





#### □ Bus and mixed scenarios give more sustainable mode shares







### **KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR MAXIMUM IMPACTS**

- Combination of mode investments yield superior outcomes -Integration
- □ Encourage low cost high impact Bus and NMT investments in combination with or without mass transit
- **Investing in mass rapid transit in isolation is suboptimal**
- Focus on Tier 3 cities with differentiated strategies compared to Tier 1 & 2





- □ Introducing only the shared-taxi (4 pax) service has the risk of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, because the current car share is low.
- □ CO<sub>2</sub> benefits can be achieved when taxi-bus (16 pax) service takes high percentage of the shared vehicle fleet.









### **VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY SCENARIOS**

# □ Introducing alternative vehicle technology pathway on top of the most effective scenario "Bus + MT + NMT"

Scenarios	Bus, BRT	2W, 3W	Car	
2DS Tech Path	2DS Fuel Eco, 2DS Fuel Share	2DS Fuel Eco, 2DS Fuel Share	2DS Fuel Eco, 2DS Fuel Share	
High Electrification	40% elec. by 2030, 70% elec. by 2050, 4DS WTT		40% elec. by 2030, 70% elec. by 2050, 4DS WTT	

□ IEA's 2DS lays out an energy system deployment pathway and an emissions trajectory consistent with at least a 50% chance of limiting the average global temperature increase to 2°C.





27

### **VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY SCENARIOS**

- **D** 2DS vehicle technology pathway, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduced further by 80mt
- □ High electrification scenario reduces CO2 emissions by 56mt
- But do not address sustainable mobility objectives (i.e. private vehicle use, congestion), in a way that the mixed strategy does







## **VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY SCENARIOS**

- Combining the mixed strategy with 2DS/High electrification can address both CO<sub>2</sub> and sustainable mobility objectives
- **G** Focus next on clean source of electricity in high electrification scenario







### **KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR MAXIMUM IMPACTS**

- **Operationalize all policy levers together**
- Focus on Tier 3 cities with differentiated strategies compared to Tier 1 & 2
- Controlling the urban footprint expansion for compact cities
- Encourage low cost high impact Bus and NMT investments in combination or without mass transit
- **C** Emphasize high occupancy shared mobility
- **Greening the Grid essential for realizing the electric mobility benefits**
- **□** Electric mobility strategy within the larger urban mobility strategy





# **Thank You**

ngupta1@worldbank.org







#### **INTRODUCTION**

□ A policy simulation tool to identify cost-efficient urban mobility pathways for mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Indian cities.

Excel-based tool

#### **D** Policies that can be tested with the tool:

- Transport infrastructure investment
- Urban area growth
- Demand-management measures
- Vehicle technology
- Shared mobility

□ Joint work between the World Bank and the International Transport Forum with local data and technical support provided by TERI.





#### **MODEL SCOPE**

#### □ Analysis carried out for all cities (population >500K) in India

Exhaustive city-specific data collection by TERI for 108 cities

UA pop (2011)	City Tier	NO. of Cities	Cities Included
>8 Million	I	5	Mumbai, Delhi, Bangalore, Kolkata, Chennai
4 - 8 Million	Ш	4	Hyderabad, Ahmedabad, Pune, Surat
1 - 4 Million	Ш	44	Jaipur, Lucknow, Vijayawada, etc.
0.5 -1 Million	IV	55	Amaravati, Mathura, Bhubaneswar, etc.

□ The model captures aggregate relationships (not a projection model for each city )





#### **MODEL FRAMEWORK**





