# Investigation of transport pollutant emissions and their associated health impacts for North Indian Region

# Siddharth Jain (PhD Research Scholar)

Under the supervision of Dr. Shalini Rankavat

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING Shiv Nadar Institution Of Eminence (SNIOE)

SHIV NADAR

#### Introduction

- Motivation behind research
- Literature review
- Summary of gaps found in literature
- Objectives to fill gaps in past studies
- Methodology
- Results and Discussion
- Conclusion



1

#### Introduction

- According to a study by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the average global surface temperature has risen by 0.74°C since the late 1800s. If no action is taken, it will rise between 1.8°C to 4°C by 2100
- Among all sectors, transportation is the fastest growing sector and the largest consumer of oil globally
- The transportation industry consumes 25% of the world's energy, while it is responsible for 23% of its total CO<sub>2</sub> emission
- India is the world's third greatest emitter of CO<sub>2</sub>, and road transport is responsible for more than 10% of the country's total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), oxide of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), Sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), particulate matter (PM), and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) are among the significant pollutants released by the transportation sector



#### Introduction

Particulate Matter: PM stands for particulate matter. it is the mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets into the atmosphere such as - dust, soot, or smoke, etc.

#### Classification of particulate matter

- ★ Coarse particles- When the aerodynamic diameter is  $(AED) \le 10 \ \mu m$
- **♦** Fine particle- When the aerodynamic diameter (AED) ≤ 2.5 μm
- Ultrafine particles- these particles are smaller than 0.1
   μm



#### Fig. 1: Different PM size's

Source-https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-09/pm2.5\_scale\_graphic-color\_2.jpg



#### **Motivation** behind research

4



	Literature Keview										
No.	Authors	Particulars of Experiments	Main findings	Remarks		•					
(1)	Singh et al., (2022)	Emission inventory for road transport in India in 2020: framework and post facto policy impact assessment	<ul> <li>High emission rates of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, PM, and NO<sub>X</sub></li> <li>With increasing vehicle count fuel consumption was found to be increasing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No detailed investigation electric vehicles</li> <li>Emissions future forecast missing</li> </ul>	on is						
(2)	Ramachandra TV (2009)	Emissions from India's transport sector: Statewise synthesis	• CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions have increased dramatically, with CO <sub>2</sub> from road transport contributing nearly 94.5% of total emissions	<ul> <li>For road transport emission, fimodification with survival mand modal share should be the</li> <li>For railway emission, not may emphasis on electric locomotive</li> </ul>	leet rate re uch ves						
(3)	Singh et al., (2018)	Estimation of high resolution emissions from road transport sector in a megacity Delhi	<ul> <li>High resolution study show significant amount of PM, CO and No<sub>x</sub> emissions</li> <li>HCV contribute most followed by LCV and 2W</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Study didn't addressed elec vehicle</li> <li>Deregistered vehicle should neglected</li> </ul>	tric be						
(4)	Annadanam and Kota, (2019)	Emission of greenhouse gases and criteria pollutants from railways in India estimated using a modified top-down approach	<ul> <li>Average of 58% and 50% reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> is expected by the proposed switch from road to rail.</li> <li>The emissions calculated by top- down approach were lower than bottom-up approach</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For calculation of elect locomotive emissions aut consider only coal as m source of energy production a neglect diesel, gas and ot energy sources</li> </ul>	tric hor ain and her						
					TY-						

R

	Literature Review											
No.	Authors	Particulars of Experiments	Main findings	Remarks								
(5)	Goel et al., (2015)	Assessment of motor vehicle use characteristics in three Indian cities	<ul> <li>Reported vehicles overestimates the actual number of in-use vehicles by up to 120%.</li> <li>According to the survival functions for cars and MTW, 90% of the fleet will be replaced over a period of next 10 years</li> </ul>	Study like these are more needed for remaining Indian cities for a comprehensive national study								
(6)	Singh et al., (2017)	Emission inventory of trace gases from road transport in India	<ul> <li>Vehicle growth shows positive relation with GDP</li> <li>2 W, Cars, Buses and LMVs are the major contributors of CH<sub>4</sub>, HC, CO in all major states</li> </ul>	Revised vehicle fleet neglecting deregistered vehicle should be adopted								
(7)	Singh et al., (2020)	Projection of Private Vehicle Stock in India up to 2050	<ul> <li>India follows the 'S' shaped curve and is currently in the initial stage of the Gompertz curve</li> <li>Stock of private vehicles would be high in 2050</li> </ul>	Good comparative study for future growth								
(8)	Bastos et al., (2022)	Intake fraction estimates for on- road fine particulate matter $(PM_{2.5})$ emissions: Exploring spatial variation of emissions and population distribution in Lisbon, Portugal	<ul> <li>Overall iF value of 16.4 ppm for the Lisbon metropolitan area</li> <li>Most exposure (12.0 ppm) occurs for the subset of the population</li> </ul>	Calculation of dilution layer and breathing rate should be calculated precisely <b>SHIV NADAR</b>								

Based on the literature review the following research gaps has been identified:

- □ For a number of pollutants, a comprehensive study in this domain that includes the most recent emission factors, specific fleet segregation, and accurate VKT is required
- Regarding railway emissions, the majority of studies only considered coal locomotives and diesel locomotives, omitting electric locomotives
- □ There is no estimation of pollutant emissions for electric vehicles
- □ The majority of the study assumed that electricity is generated solely from coal and its derivatives Where decadal changes and change in energy production sources must be considered
- □ For airways, estimations of various pollutants are lacking
- The effects of increasing pollutant concentrations on human health are absent

7

- ✓ This research aims to evaluate North India's transportation emissions trends from 2010 to 2030 using comprehensive emission estimates at the zonal level
- ✓ Study includes railway estimates for both electric and coal locomotives
- ✓ For electric vehicles, a comprehensive emission inventory considered all dynamic vehicle characteristics for various pollutants is compiled
- ✓ We perform a back analysis for electricity production by considering all non renewable energy production sources
- ✓ It includes Airways emission estimates considering appropriate emission factors and fuel consumption
- ✓ The age- and season-specific (summer, winter, and post-monsoon) human PM intake Fraction (iF) is commuted



#### Framework



Fig 2: Framework for calculation of Transportation emissions

UNIVERS DELHI NCR

#### Study Area



Domain Area – 488497 km<sup>2</sup> Population - 340 million people

It comprises nearly 30% of the road vehicle population fleet, whereas 2W accounts for almost 75% of the entire fleet value. From 2011 to 2020, the CAGR of road transport is close to 8%.

- Rail track- 6,807 kilometers
- Airport 26 types of airports (including commercial, civil enclave, and flying school), and
- Road network 8.72 million kilometers Data used from various sources like -
  - National/state government database.
- Past literature
- Indian Meteorological Department (IMD)



Characteristics and assumptions for Roadway transport -

Vehicle age - The vehicle registered figures published by the Ministry of Transport, Government of India, include scrap/deregistered vehicles, therefore overestimating the number of registered vehicles We employ the vehicle fleet survival fraction presented by (Pandey and Venkataraman, 2014)

Sf (i, a) = 
$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{[A(1 - \frac{A}{L_{50}})]}}$$

Sf = survival rate, a = age, i = vehicle type,

A = is the form factor indicating the beginning of substantial retirement,  $L_{50}$ = age at which 50% of vehicles have retired.

#### Fuel share \*\*

#### VKT

Table 1: Fuel share % by different modes of roadways in India. (Singh et al., 2021)

% Share	2010 onwards (%)	2015 to 2020(%)
Truck (D)	100	100
Bus (D)	92	90
Bus (CNG)	8	10
LCV (D)	100	100
Taxi (G)	65	60
Taxi (D)	20	20
Taxi (CNG)	15	20
3W (G)	65	60
3W (CNG)	15	20
3W (D)	20	20
2W (2s)	5	4
2W (4s)	95	96
Car (G)	65	60
Car (D)	35	40

Table 2: VKT for various types of vehicles. Ramachandra and Shwetmala (2009)

Type of Vehicles	VKT (km/year)
Motor Cycles, Scooters & Mopeds	6300
Cars and Jeeps	12600
Taxis	12600
Buses	100000
Light Motor Vehicles (Passengers)	33500
Light Motor Vehicles (Goods)	63000
Trucks and Lorries	25000
Tractors and Trailers	21000
others	21000
	SHIV NADAR
	UNIVERSITY
	DELHI NCR

### Formula's used in this study -

• The Energy Consumption (EC) of diesel and electric locomotives for both passenger and freight loads

 $EC (in Litres)_{electric,diesel} = Net load of trains_{electric,diesel} \times \frac{fuel consumption}{GTKM}$ 

• Fuel Consumption (FC) by roadways transportation system considering various characteristics and assumptions -

$$FC_{i,g,f} = \sum_{i} \sum_{g} \sum_{f} \frac{(NV_{i,g,f} \times D_{i,f} \times S_{i,g,f})}{FE_{i}}$$

• Compound emission by different transportation system -

$$EC_{i,g,f} = \sum_{i} \sum_{g} \sum_{f} (FC_{i,g,f} \times E_{i,f} \times NCV_{i,f})$$

• PM<sub>2.5</sub> calculation for roadways transportation system -

$$PM_{2.5} = \sum (V_i \times VKT_i) \times EF_{j,km}$$

FE = Fuel efficiency



- NV = Number of Vehicle (population) VKT = Vehicle km travelled (yearly)
- E = Emission Factor

#### Logistic growth curve

- A study conducted by (Singh et al., 2020) discovered that India follows the 'S' shaped curve and is currently at the first stage of the Gompertz curve.
- Following that, we use the logistic curve method using 'R' software to forecast CO<sub>2</sub> future emissions for the years 2025 and 2030.
- Factors like as fuel advancement and shifting driving patterns were taken into account while predicting  $CO_2$  emissions.PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions and their associated heath impacts

**Emission** factor Table 3 – Emission factors and NCV used for different fuels (Source: IPCC, 1996; IPCC, 2006) Fuel NCV  $CO_2$ CH<sub>4</sub> CO NO<sub>x</sub> NMVOC TJ/kt tC/TJ t/TJ t/TJ t/TJ t/TJ Diesel 43.33 20.2 0.005 1 0.8 0.2 44.3 18.9 0.02 0.8 0.6 1.5 Petrol CNG 48.6 15.3 0.092 0.4 0.6 0.005 Coal 19.63 25.8 0.001 0.15 0.3 0.02 ATF 44.1 2.94 (ton/ton) 2.64 (g/kg)1034 (g/kg) 3.52 (g/kg) 19 (g/kg)

Table 4 - PM2.5Emission factors for different vehicle types

Vehicle Type	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emission factor
	(g/km)
Truck D	1.24
Bus D	0.3
Bus CNG	0.044
LCV D	0.475
Car G	0.004
Car D	0.06
Car CNG	0.002
3W G	0.015
3W cng	0.015
3W D	SHIV0.091ADAK
2W G	INSTITUTION 0.035 NCE DEEMED TO BE
Trailers and tractors D	1.24 NCR

#### Intake Fraction (iF) Box Model -

- We use a dynamic one-compartment model to estimate iF of emissions in urban areas
- The one-compartment model estimates concentrations based on a mass-balance, assuming that the air is well mixed within the urban area and is vertically mixed up to the atmospheric mixing height

$$iF = \frac{Total intake}{Total emissions}$$
  $iF_i = \frac{Pop_i \times Br}{u_j \times VH_j \times VH_$ 

Table 5 – Inputs used for calculation of age specific iF.

	Population	Mixing layer height	Wind speed	Area		
		$(m^3 \text{ person-s}^{-1})$		(m)	$(m s^{-1})$	(km <sup>2</sup> )
Infant (0-5 years)	33917687	39	Summer	2457.14	3.2	488497
Children (5-18)	104199978	17	Winter	910	2.3	
Adult (18 onwards)	210289653	14	Post Monsoon	1692.85	2.45	





Where,

A

Pop = population of specific age group (i), Br = breathing rate per person (m3 /s) of specific age group (i), (i) = infant, child, adult  $\mu$  = mean wind speed (m/s) during specific

 $\mu$  = mean wind speed (m/s) during speed season (j)

H = average mixing layer height during specific season (j), j = summer, winter and post monsoon

 $A = area of domain (m^2)$ 



Fig 4: CO<sub>2</sub> emission from different railway system and airways transportation

Year	Roadways	Railways	Airways	Total (Tg)
2010-2011	37.07	0.43	1.51	39.01
2011-2012	39.10	0.47	1.51	41.08
2012-2013	39.19	0.46	1.51	41.15
2013-2014	41.77	0.46	1.57	43.79
2014-2015	44.58	0.56	1.55	46.69
2015-2016	55.85	0.54	1.71	58.10
2016-2017	66.26	0.59	1.85	68.70
2017-2018	66.65	0.60	2.10	69.35
2018-2019	62.11	0.61	2.24	64.96
2019-2020	64.78	0.60	2.22	67.61

Table 6: Total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the North Indian Transportation system



SHIV NAD

DELHI NCR

- Roadways 95.2%
- Railways 1.1%
- Airways 3.6%
- CAGR = 6%

- **Diesel locomotive** -0.27 Tg in 2010 to 49.64 Tg in 0.20 with CAGR of -3%
- Electric locomotive 0.20 Tg in 2010 to 0.38 Tg in 2020 with CAGR of 7%
- Airways 1.50 Tg in 2010 to 2.22 Tg in 2020 with CAGR of 4%





Fig 5: Fuel consumption by North Indian roadways

- **Diesel** 30.07 Mt in 2010 to 49.64 Mt in 2020 with CAGR of 6%
- **Petrol** 5.36 Mt in 2010 to 11.92 Mt in 2020 with CAGR of 9%
- **CNG** 0.63 Mt in 2010 to 1.26 Mt in 2020 with CAGR of 8%





Voor		$CH_4$			CO			NO <sub>X</sub>		N	IMVOC	2
I Cal	Road	Rail	Air	Road	Rail	Air	Road	Rail	Air	Road	Rail	Air
2010-11	16.8	0.1	4.9	1781.1	10.8	1907	1420.9	9.8	6.5	780.5	2.1	35
2011-12	17.7	0.1	4.9	1877.4	11	1916.8	1496.6	10.1	6.5	830.1	2.1	35.2
2012-13	17.8	0.1	4.9	1879.2	10.7	1908.1	1495.7	9.9	6.5	875.1	2.1	35.1
2013-14	19.1	0.1	5.1	2002	9.9	1984.3	1593.3	9.5	6.8	942.5	1.9	36.5
2014-15	20.7	0.1	5	2134.6	12.2	1965.8	1698.4	11.6	6.7	1027.7	2.3	36.1
2015-16	26.3	0.1	5.5	2677.3	11.1	2168.4	2136.5	10.9	7.4	1136	2.1	39.8
2016-17	28.8	0.1	6	3192.1	11	2341.6	2546.9	11.2	8	1260.9	2.1	43
2017-18	29.5	0.1	6.8	3205.7	10.4	2656.3	2556.2	10.9	9	1292.4	1.9	48.8
2018-19	29.3	0.1	7.2	2973.9	10.6	2838.2	2369.7	11.1	9.7	1316.1	2	52.2
2019-20	32.3	0.1	7.2	3089.6	9.8	2817.5	2460.7	10.6	9.6	1558.4	1.8	51.8
CAGR	8%	0%	4%	6%	-1%	4%	6%	1%	4%	8%	-2%	4%

Table 7: Emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, NO<sub>X,</sub> and NMVOC (Gg) from the North Indian transport system

Table 8: Emissions of  $CH_4$ , CO,  $NO_{X_4}$  and NMVOC (Gg) from Electric vehicles

Year	$CO_2$	$CH_4$	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	NMVOC
2018	196.89	0.09	2.67	1.43	0.15
2019	219.93	0.10	2.96	1.58	0.16
2020	148.14	0.06	2.00	1.06	0.11
CAGR	-13%	-15%	-14%	-14%	-13%





Fig 6: Transportation CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Prediction for year 2025 and 2030

PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions were 109.15 Gg in 2010 to 190.40 Gg in 2020 with increase by CAGR of 6%.
Infants (0-5 years) = 2.02 ppb (summer), 1.07 ppb (post monsoon), and 0.54 ppb (winter)
Children (5-18 years) = 0.49 ppb (summer), 0.26 ppb (post monsoon), and 0.13 ppb (winter)
Adults = 0.15 ppb (summer), 0.08 ppb (post monsoon), and 0.08 ppb (winter)



#### Conclusion

- ✓ The transportation sector, railways and airways has been estimated to emit 39 Tg, 0.43 Tg and 1.29 Tg of CO<sub>2</sub> in 2010 to that 67.6 Tg, 0.59 Tg and 2.2 Tg in 2020, with a CAGR of 6%, 4% and 4%
- ✓ Road transport sector has contributed 95.2% of CO<sub>2</sub> emission whereas railways and airways contributed 1.1% and 3.6% of total CO<sub>2</sub> emission
- ✓ Trailer and tractors (24%) > LCV (19%) > truck (16%) share of CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Car/taxi and 2W share less but significant with 11% and 9%
- $\checkmark$  EV's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions levels were 196.8 Gg, 219.9 Gg, and 148.1 Gg for the years 2018, 2019, and 2020
- ✓ Infants had the highest levels of iF, followed by children and adults. Summer iF was found to be high due to an increase in dilution rates caused by stronger winds and a higher mixing altitude
- $\checkmark$  Switching the whole roadway fleet to CNG can reduce emissions by 14%
- ✓ Replacing electric locomotives with diesel locomotives can reduce  $CO_2$  by 55%

#### References

- 1. Annadanam, S.K. and Kota, S.H., 2019. Emission of greenhouse gases and criteria pollutants from railways in India estimated using a modified top-down approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *213*, pp.610-617.
- Bastos, J., Milando, C., Freire, F. and Batterman, S., 2018. Intake fraction estimates for on-road fine particulate matter (PM2. 5) emissions: Exploring spatial variation of emissions and population distribution in Lisbon, Portugal. *Atmospheric Environment*, 190, pp.284-293.
- 3. Goel, R., Mohan, D., Guttikunda, S.K. and Tiwari, G., 2016. Assessment of motor vehicle use characteristics in three Indian cities. *Transportation research part D: transport and environment*, 44, pp.254-265.
- 4. Ramachandra, T.V., 2009. Emissions from India's transport sector: statewise synthesis. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43(34), pp.5510-5517.
- 5. Singh, N., Mishra, T. and Banerjee, R., 2020. Projection of private vehicle stock in India up to 2050. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 48, pp.3380-3389.
- 6. Singh, N., Mishra, T. and Banerjee, R., 2022. Emission inventory for road transport in India in 2020: Framework and post facto policy impact assessment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(14), pp.20844-20863.
- 7. Singh, R., Sharma, C. and Agrawal, M., 2017. Emission inventory of trace gases from road transport in India. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 52, pp.64-72.
- Singh, V., Sahu, S.K., Kesarkar, A.P. and Biswal, A., 2018. Estimation of high resolution emissions from road transport sector in a megacity Delhi. *Urban Climate*, *26*, pp.109-120.

# Thank you

SHIV NADAR